## High-Resolution Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI) of the Human Kidneys using a Free-Breathing Multi-Slice Targeted-FOV Approach

Rachel W Chan<sup>1</sup>, Constantin von Deuster<sup>2,3</sup>, Christian T Stoeck<sup>2</sup>, Jack Harmer<sup>3</sup>, Sebastian Kozerke<sup>2,3</sup>, and David Atkinson<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University College London, London, London, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup>University and ETH Zurich, Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, <sup>3</sup>King's College London, London, London, London, United Kingdom

<u>**Target Audience:**</u> Clinicians interested in a non-invasive diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) technique suitable for imaging patients with kidney disease where the injection of contrast agent may be contraindicated.

**Purpose:** To obtain high-resolution fractional anisotropy (FA) maps and color-coded diffusion-orientation maps of the kidneys using a free-breathing targeted-FOV (TFOV) DTI method, and to provide measures of the medullary and cortical FA quantified over the entire kidney volume.

**Background:** FA values of the medulla obtained by DTI can be used to assess the function of renal allografts after kidney transplant<sup>1,2</sup>. Higher spatial resolution and reduced image distortions can be achieved by TFOV methods<sup>3-6</sup>.

**Methods:** *MR imaging:* A multi-slice TFOV technique<sup>5,6</sup> (previously used for spinal cord and prostate DTI) was extended to free-breathing kidney DTI using a navigator-triggered technique. Twelve healthy subjects were scanned at 3T after informed consent with two implementations: i) TFOV "D" for dual-kidney imaging, and ii) TFOV "S" optimized for targeted imaging over a single kidney. Each subject was scanned with TFOV D (TR/TE=5000/80ms, FOV=220×126mm<sup>2</sup>, SI phase-encode direction, 12 slices, 5.5mm slice thickness, 2 signal averages, 2×2mm<sup>2</sup>), followed by a scan with TFOV S where a single kidney, either the right or left, was imaged (TR/TE=5000/106ms, FOV=120×72mm<sup>2</sup>, LR phase-encode direction, 8 slices, 5.5mm slice thickness, 3 signal averages, 1.2×1.2mm<sup>2</sup>). Each scan consisted of 15 diffusion-encoding directions with b=450s/mm<sup>2</sup> and a b=0s/mm<sup>2</sup> image. *Image reconstruction and analysis:* Affine registration was performed on the individual diffusion-weighted images using FSL<sup>7</sup> prior to averaging to correct for residual motion and effects of eddy currents. Grayscale and color FA maps were computed, along with in-plane diffusion tensors. Medulla and cortical ROIs were drawn on all contiguous slices. FA maps and the mean FA values were qualitatively and quantitatively compared.

**<u>Results</u>:** High consistency was seen in the grayscale FA maps (Fig1a,b), color-coded FA maps (Fig1c), and diffusion tensors (Fig1d) across subjects and between dual- and single-kidney scans. The mean medulla / cortical FA values were  $0.38\pm0.017 / 0.21\pm0.019$  for the dual-kidney scan, and were  $0.35\pm0.032 / 0.20\pm0.014$  for the single-kidney scan (plotted in Fig1e). There was no significant difference between dual-kidney and single-kidney scans for the medulla or cortical FA (both with p>0.05, computed with paired t-test) for the same number of signal averages. The mean FA between the medulla and cortex was significantly different (p<0.001 for both dual- and single-kidney scans).

**Discussion and conclusions:** High-resolution FA maps and color-coded diffusion orientations were obtained of the human kidney during free-breathing. Targeted-FOV DTI with multiple contiguous slices allowed the medulla and cortical FA to be quantified over the entire kidney volume. High-spatial resolution renal DTI has the potential to improve characterization and non-invasive assessment of kidney function. **References: 1.** Hueper K et al. Eur Radiol. 2011 Nov;21(11):2427-33. **2.** Lanzman RS et al. Radiology. 2013 Jan;266(1):218-25. **3.** Jin N et al. J Magn Reson Imaging 2011;33:1517–1525. **4.** Jeong EK et al. Magn Reson Med 2005;54:1575–1579. **5.** Wheeler-Kingshott CAM et al. Neuroimage 2002;16:93–102. **6.** Wilm BJ et al. Magn Reson Med. 2007 Mar;57(3):625-30. **7.** Jenkinson M, Smith S. Med Image Anal. 2001 Jun;5(2):143-56.

